UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



OCT 11 2000

In Re: Vitamins Antitrust Litigation	MANCY MAYER-WHITTINGTON (U.S. DISTRICT COURT Misc. No. 99-0197 (TFH)
This Document Relates To:	MDL No. 1285
NBTY, Inc., Rexall Sundown, Inc., Twin Laboratories, Inc., and Cambr Company, inc., f/k/a Solgar Vitamin & Herb Company v. F. Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd., et al.,	Case No. 99-CV-2372
Perrigo Company, et al v. F. Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd., et al,	Case No. 99-CV-2411
Natural Alternatives International, Inc., Nutraceutical Corporation, Makers of Kal, Inc., and Weider Nutrition Group, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd., et al,	Case No. 99-CV-2682
Leiner Health Products Inc. v.) F. Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd., et al,)	Case No. 99-CV-3047

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST TAKEDA DEFENDANTS

Ordered that the foregoing stipulation of Plaintiffs and the Takeda Defendants in the abovecaptioned cases be and the same is Accepted. Accordingly, it is Ordered and Adjudged as follows:

As Plaintiffs' filed a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the District of Columbia dated November 11, 1999 (the "Consolidated Amended Complaint"), and filed a subsequent amendment thereto, the prior filed individual complaints brought by all Plaintiffs (the "Individual Complaints") that were previously filed are hereby dismissed in their entirety with prejudice as to the Takeda Defendants;

344716 v.3 [7DZQU3: WPD]

(11)

- 2. Plaintiffs' direct purchaser claims against the Takeda Defendants based upon Plaintiffs' direct purchases of Vitamins from the Takeda Defendants and all alleged codefendants and co-conspirators, as alleged in Counts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the Consolidated Amended Complaint are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to the Takeda Defendants; and
- Plaintiffs' indirect purchaser claims against the Takeda Defendants based upon Plaintiffs' indirect vitamin purchases, that is, Plaintiffs' vitamin purchases from entities other than the Takeda Defendants and all alleged codefendants and co-conspirators, as alleged in Counts 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the Consolidated Amended Complaint are not dismissed, and Plaintiffs may continue to prosecute those indirect purchaser claims against the Takeda Defendants.

This Order is without prejudice to Plaintiffs' claims against any other Defendants or alleged co-conspirators in the above-captioned cases.

United States District Judge

cc: Counsel for Takeda Defendants shall mail conformed copies of this Order to all counsel of record in MDL No. 1285